

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/577,301	SEKO ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANDREW KRAUSE	1794	

All Participants:

(1) ANDREW KRAUSE.

(2) Stephanie Wardwell.

Date of Interview: 26 January 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All applicable

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed potential examiner's amendment to facilitate allowance of claims 1-5, 7-9, 11.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/ANDREW KRAUSE/
Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)